(1) (3)

CH/UL

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

[WRIT JURISDICTION]

WRIT PETITION ...2783/2003

IN THE MATTER OF: -

Atul kulshreshtha
Aged about 34 years
S/O Sri Krishna Gopal Kulshreshtha
R/O 27/6 South T.T. Nagar
Bhopal. [Madhya Pradesh] **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

[1] Union Of India **RESPONDENT**
Through secretary
Department of Personal & Training
3rd Floor Lok Nayak Bhawan
Khan Market
New Delhi

[2] State Of Chhattisgarh
Through secretary
Department Of Finance
DKS Bhawan, Raipur. [Chhattisgarh]

P. R. No. 2631/03
Presented by Shri M. B. Dixit
dated 03.09.03

[3] State Of Madhya Pradesh
Through Secretary
Finance Department
Vallabh Bhawan
Bhopal. [Madhya Pradesh]

[4] COMMISSIONER
Treasury and Accounts
Govt. Of Madhya Pradesh
Bhopal.[Madhya Pradesh]

3/9/03

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

RECEIVED COPY
3.9.03
COUNSEL FOR A.G. BILASPUR

उपयुक्त आयुक्त
बिलासपुर संभाग
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट
3/9/03

(52)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 2783 of 2003

Petitioner — Atul Kulshreshtha

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri Sunil Otwani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No.1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondents No. 3 and 4.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondent No. 2.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is a member of the Subordinate Accounts Services in the Finance Department, is a permanent resident of District-Bhopal in the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur